

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/559,820	04/28/2000	Takashi Murai	Q59071	3230
7590 01/13/2004			EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas PLLC			MCANULTY, TIMOTHY P	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/559,820	MURAI, TAKASHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy P McAnulty	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Oc	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.						
)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first sample of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first sample of a claim for domestic sample of a claim for dom	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) is sentence of the specification or visional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/559,820

Art Unit: 3682

نو

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baden.

Baden discloses in figures 1,2, and 5, a retainer for rolling bearings comprising a rolling element receiving pocket having a pair of ring shaped side plates, a pair of pillars, a first pocket surface formed in said pair of pillars and being arc shaped, a second pocket surface formed on said pair of ring shaped side plates, an escaping recess 15 located between said first pocket surface and said second pocket surface, and a roller run-out preventing portion formed on said pair of pillars and being arc shaped.

The limitation that the pocket surfaces are formed by machining with a tool member or multiply tool members whose machining part has a sectional contour which coincides with a sectional configuration of said pocket surfaces after formation of said pocket does not further limit the retainer as claimed. Even though product-by-process claims are limited and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. See MPEP §2113.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/559,820

Art Unit: 3682

4. Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baden.

Baden discloses in figures 1,2, and 5, a retainer for rolling bearings comprising a rolling element receiving pocket having a pair of ring shaped side plates, a pair of pillars, a first pocket surface formed in said pair of pillars and being arc shaped, a second pocket surface formed on said pair of ring shaped side plates, and an escaping recess 15 located between said first pocket surface and said second pocket surface. Baden further discloses a roller run-out preventing portion formed on said pair of pillars and being arc shaped wherein a length of said roller run-out portion being less than or equal to a length of a roller inserted in said rolling element receiving pocket but does not specifically disclose said roller run-out portion being greater than 0.75 times the length of said roller. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide said roller run-out portion to be greater than 0.75 times the length of said roller, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

The limitation that the pocket surfaces are formed by machining with a tool member or multiply tool members whose machining part has a sectional contour which coincides with a sectional configuration of said pocket surfaces after formation of said pocket does not further limit the retainer as claimed. Even though product-by-process claims are limited and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. See MPEP §2113.

Application/Control Number: 09/559,820

Art Unit: 3682

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 7-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Although Baden may not specifically disclose said roller run-out preventing portion being greater than 0.75 times the roller effective length, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to establish such a dimensional range especially lacking any evidence to the contrary, e.g., unexpected results.

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 5 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As presently claimed, claim 5 merely limits the roller run-out portion to have a curve surface smoothly connecting to said first pocket surface. Claim 5 does not include limitations directed to the complex geometry as depicted in Figure 7 of the instant application. As broadly claimed, Baden discloses a first pocket surface and a roller run-out preventing portion wherein the roller run-out portion has a curve surface that smoothly connects to said first pocket surface.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy P McAnulty whose telephone number is 703.308.8684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on 703.308.3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
THOUSANDLOGY CENTER 3600